

|   |                        |                       |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>   |  |
|   | 09/716,787             | CHAPMAN, JOSEPH QUINN |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>       |  |
|   | Joseph D. Torres       | 2133                  |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Karen G. Hazzah.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2005

**Time:** 1pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1-87

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a telephone conversation with Karen G. Hazzah on 3/2/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 70-74 and 80-83.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPZ Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)